

RUNNING COUPLING IN PURE GAUGE THEORIES USING THE SCHRÖDINGER FUNCTIONAL

RAJAMANI NARAYANAN

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University

P.O. Box 849, Piscataway, NJ 08855-0849

ABSTRACT

Schrödinger functional, the propagation kernel for going from some field configuration at time $x^0 = 0$ to some other configuration at $x^0 = T$, is used to define a running coupling, $\bar{g}^2(L)$, at a length scale, L , in pure gauge theories. Using a lattice formulation and finite size scaling techniques, this running coupling is calculated non-perturbatively in the continuum for a wide range of L , that extends from the perturbative scales to non-perturbative scales, for the specific case of pure $SU(2)$ gauge theory.

If all the parameters of QCD are fixed using low-energy observations, say, through the hadron spectrum, then the renormalized running coupling, $\alpha(q)$, is a completely determined function of momentum, q . Different definitions (different renormalization schemes) of $\alpha(q)$ are related perturbatively at high energies and can be used as an input for high energy experiments. In order to compute $\alpha(q)$ from low q to high q in terms of low energy parameters, it is necessary to use non-perturbative techniques. One choice for a non-perturbative calculation is the lattice formulation. To achieve this using a single lattice would be very difficult because we would need a large lattice with small lattice spacing to span all momenta from low energies to high energies. Two recently proposed methods¹ to compute the running coupling using a single lattice face the above mentioned difficulty. It is possible to overcome this problem by combining lattice formulation with finite-size scaling techniques. This involves using several small lattices for the simulation rather than one large lattice. The feasibility of this approach was first demonstrated in the case of $O(3)$ σ -model in two dimensions². Recently the same idea was carried out for the case of pure $SU(2)$ gauge theory in four dimensions^{3,4}.

In pure gauge theories there is only one low energy parameter which can be taken to be the string tension, K . Let $\bar{g}^2(L)$ be some good definition of the running coupling in a box of size L . $\bar{g}^2(L)$, so defined, will run with $L = 1/q$. Let

$$u = \bar{g}^2(L) \tag{1}$$

and

$$u' = \bar{g}^2(sL) \quad (2)$$

where s is a scale factor. Then the function

$$\sigma(s, u) = u', \quad (3)$$

is the integrated β -function and can be computed as a function of s and u . We start by choosing an initial value

$$u_0 = \bar{g}^2(L_0). \quad (4)$$

Next, we compute

$$u_{i+1} = \sigma(2, u_i); \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (5)$$

This gives us a sequence of values,

$$\bar{g}^2(L_i) = u_i, \quad (6)$$

with

$$L_i = 2^i L_0. \quad (7)$$

At the terminal point we compute $L_n \sqrt{K}$ which fixes all L_i in units of K . In this manner we have the running coupling from the low energy scale to the high energy scale with the energy scale measured in terms of K , the only low energy parameter.

To compute $\sigma(s, u)$ non-perturbatively we use lattice regularization and finite-size scaling. On the lattice, we start by choosing some u and a lattice size L/a where a is the lattice spacing. The bare coupling g_0^2 is tuned so that

$$\bar{g}^2(L, a, g_0^2) = u. \quad (8)$$

Then we double the lattice size and compute

$$\Sigma(2, u, a/L) = \bar{g}^2(2L, a, g_0^2) \quad (9)$$

for the same bare coupling. Then

$$\sigma(2, u) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \Sigma(2, u, a/L) \quad (10)$$

and is computed by performing the computations for several different a and fixed L , i.e; several pairs of lattices L/a and $2L/a$, and then extrapolating to the limit $a \rightarrow 0$. In $SU(2)$, $L/a = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$ were chosen and $\sigma(2, u)$ was computed to a good accuracy for several values of u ⁴.

To extrapolate $\sigma(2, u)$ from Eq. (10) using results on lattices of sizes $L/a = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$, the $O(a/L)$ correction present in Eqn. (10) should be small. This will not be true for all choices of the running coupling. The running coupling defined through the Schrödinger functional is one choice where the cut off effects can be small. The definition of the running coupling in the continuum is as follows. Consider the pure gauge theory in a box L^3T . The free energy associated with the Schrödinger functional is

$$F[b', b] = -\ln \left\{ \frac{1}{Z} \int D[A] e^{-S[A]} \right\} \quad (11)$$

In the above equation the functional integral runs over all fields with

$$A_k(x) = \begin{cases} b'_k(\vec{x}) & \text{if } x^0 = T \\ b_k(\vec{x}) & \text{if } x^0 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

$$A_\mu(x + \hat{k}L) = A_\mu(x); \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \quad (13)$$

and $S[A]$ is the usual Yang-Mills action. Z in Eq. (11) is defined so that $F(0, 0) = 0$. The Schrödinger functional describes the propagation from some fixed field configuration at $x^0 = 0$ to another fixed field configuration at $x^0 = T$. The Schrödinger functional is a well defined quantity and can be properly renormalized⁵. For the case of pure gauge theories no counter-terms need to be added³. The boundary conditions b and b' induce a background field B that minimizes $S[A]$. We can equivalently think of the free energy in Eq. (11) as $F(B)$. Let us assume that B depends on one dimensionless parameter θ , i.e.; we are varying the boundary conditions with one parameter θ . Our definition of the running coupling is

$$\bar{g}^2 = \frac{F'_0[B]}{F'[B]} \quad (14)$$

where the prime denote differentiation with respect to θ . If we now choose our boundary conditions, and consequently the background field to scale with L (we also choose $T = L$), then the running coupling defined through Eq. (14) will only depend on L and it will run with L . Different choice of background field are different renormalization schemes. They will all be perturbatively related at high energies and they can also be related to some conventional definitions like $g_{\overline{MS}}^2$.

The running coupling defined via the Schrödinger functional above can be carried over to the lattice in the usual manner. The $O(a/L)$ effects alluded to in the above paragraph will be different for different choices of background field. We find that an Abelian choice corresponding to a constant color electric field is one choice where the $O(a/L)$ effects are small³. The simulations were performed for this choice of background field and a detailed analysis of the simulation is presented in Ref. 4.

Acknowledgements: This research was supported in part by the DOE under grant # DE-FG05-90ER40559.

References:

1. C. Michael, *Liverpool Preprint* **LTH 279** 1992; A.E. El-Khadra, G. Hockney, A.S. Kronfeld and P.B. Mackenzie, *Fermilab Preprint* **91/354-T** 1992.
2. M. Lüscher, P. Weisz and U. Wolff, *Nucl. Phys.* **B359** (1991) 221.
3. M. Lüscher, R. Narayanan, P. Weisz and U. Wolff, *Nucl. Phys.* **B384** (1992) 168.
4. M. Lüscher, R. Sommer, U. Wolff and P. Weisz, *DESY Preprint* **DESY 92-096** July 1992.
5. K. Symanzik, *Nucl. Phys.* **B190** [FS3] (1981) 1.